1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 6 7 SOLEMAN NOORAZAR, Case No. 2:10-cv-02079-GMN-GWF 8 Plaintiff. 9 VS. **ORDER** 10 DARYL KING, et. al., Defendants. 11 12 The Court has received the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (#16) filed 13 March 31, 2011. The proposed Order before the Court does not comply with the requirements of LR 26-1. LR 26-1(e)(1) sets a period of 180 days as a presumptively reasonable amount of time in which 14 15 to conduct discovery. The 180 days is measured from the date the first defendant answered or 16 otherwise appeared. Discovery plans requesting longer than 180 days from the date the first defendant 17 answers or appears require special scheduling review. Where such special scheduling review is 18 requested, the plan shall state the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply. 19 Accordingly, 20 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (#16) 21 is **denied**, without prejudice. 22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are to file a revised Discovery Plan and 23 Scheduling Order in compliance with LR 26-1(d), including any request for special scheduling review, 24 within seven (7) days of the date of this order. 25 DATED this 4th day of April, 2011. 26 27 28 United States Magistrate Judge